PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 9 OCT 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATIENTABILITYPCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

A nelle selle en enemble fil							
Applicant's or agent's file reference 402907WO		FOR FURTHER A	RTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
		International filing date 12.11.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.11.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04M3/428, H04M7/00, H04M15/00							
Applicant KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V. et al							
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT	consists of a total of	f 6 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.				
	3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:						
			eau) a total of sheets,				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
Box No. I	Basis of the opini	ion					
☐ Box No. II	Priority			•			
☐ Box No. III	Non-establishme	nt of opinion with rega	ard to noveity, inventive	e step and industrial applicability			
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of ir		••	o dup and madema approvemy			
⊠ Box No. V	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
☐ Box No. VI	Certain documen						
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
☐ Box No. VIII	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion of the	his report			
24.06.2005			18.10.2005	·			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			Authorized Officer				
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			Tillgren, M				
			Telephone No. +49 89	2399-			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012955

_						
_	Box No. I	Basis of the re	port			
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wa filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	which inte	is the language of emational search olication of the int	f a translation furnish (under Rules 12.3 ar ernational applicatior	e original language into the following language , hed for the purposes of: nd 23.1(b)) n (under Rule 12.4) der Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	HUARD DOGH	Vith regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which nave been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>				
	Description	ı, Pages		•		
	1-8		as originally filed	·		
	Claims, Nu	mbers				
	1-11		as originally filed			
	Drawings, F	igures				
	1-3		as originally filed			
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/c	r any related table(s)) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
	* If ite	em 4 applies,	some or all of	these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

10,11

No: Claims

1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1) The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication:

D1=Brochure "XACCT SOLUTIONS FRO IP TELEPHONY, PROFITING FROM THE IP REVOLUTION", June 2001, Available from the Internet <URL: http://www.xacct.com/documents/ip_telephony_white_paper.pdf>, 7 April 2004.

D2=Communications, Computers and Signal Processing, 1999 IEEE Pacific Rim Conference on Victoria, BC, Canada 22-24 Aug. 1999, Piscataway, NJ, USA,IEEE, US, 1999-08-22 "Simultaneous Voice an Internet Data on Rural Subscriber Lines", Dodds and Swanson, University of Saskatchewan and TRLabs, Saskatoon, Canada.

- With regard to present claim 1 the document D1 discloses method for logging information during a call completion process (page 12, paragraph 2), in an Internet call waiting environment (page 2, paragraph 3), comprising the steps of: creating a service detail record (page 12, paragraph 2), and assigning a value to a parameter of the service detail record (page 12, paragraph 2).
 - Hence claim 1 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT since its subject matter is not novel.
- 3) It could be argued that there is no explicit indication in D1 that the call detail records could be used in the Internet call waiting environment. The examining division does however considered this connection to be implicitly made since this is one service mentioned in D1. Even if the applicant could convincingly argue that this connection does not exist. Claim 1 would not be inventive for the following reasons:

The document D2 discloses Internet call waiting, the difference between the subject matter of claim 1 and D2 is therefore the creation of a call detail record in claim 1.

PCT/EP2004/012955

The objective problem to be solved by claim 1 is therefore how to acquire data, regarding the connection, for management purposes, e. g. billing.

To do this by establish a call detail record as in claim 1 is common practice in the telecommunication field. Furthermore it is even known from the field of IP-telephony to establish call detail records (see D1). Therefore it has to be considered obvious to the man skilled in the art to implement a method for establishing a call detail record according to D1 in a system according to D2 and arrive at the method of claim 1.

Claim 1 would therefore not involve an in inventive step and would therefore not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 4) The subject matter of independent claim 8 is equivalent to that of claim 1, however expressed in terms of apparatus features. Therefore the objections above regarding claim 1 also hold for claim 8.
 - Hence claim 8 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT since its subject matter is not novel.
- 5) The only difference between the subject matter of independent claim 9 and that of claim 8 is that it is explicitly mentioned in claim 9 that the device for logging can be accessed via a network. This is however considered to be explicitly known from D1.
 - Hence claim 9 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT since its subject matter is not novel.
- 6) The additional subject matter of the dependent claims 2-7 is known from D1 (page 11, paragraph 1).
 - Hence claims 2-7 do not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT since their respective subject matter is not novel.
- 7) The additional subject matter of claims 10 (the network is mobile) and 11 (the network is fixed) does not give any contribution to an inventive step, since there are only two choices between networks, i.e. fixed or mobile. Therefore it is obvious

to chose one or both of them.

Hence claims 10 and 11 do not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT since their respective subject matter does not involve an inventive step.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1) Independent claims 1, 8 and 9 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with known features being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2) To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the documents D1 and D2 should have been identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be discussed.